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## Section 1: Program Planning

Internal Analysis and Program Effectiveness: Anthropology

| Productivity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 732 | 1,021 | 976 | 1,087 | 1,108 |
| State-Funded Resident FTES | $6,073.30$ | $6,343.88$ | $5,929.28$ | $6,189.33$ | $6,104.88$ |
| Subject Resident FTES | 66.94 | 93.65 | 87.56 | 99.79 | 102.31 |
| Sections | 13 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 19 |
| Fill Rate | $85.3 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ |
| WSCH/FTEF 595 Efficiency | 926 | 976 | 899 | 874 | 852 |
| FTEF/30 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Extended Learning Enrollment | 212 | 174 | 228 | 171 | 146 |

The percentage change in the number of Anthropology enrollments in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15.

The percentage change in e
The percentage change in the number of sections in Anthropology courses in 2018-19 showed a slight decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of sections in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the fill rate in 2018-19 for Anthropology courses showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease in comparison with the fill rate in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the WSCH/FTEF ratio in Anthropology courses in 2018-19 showed a slight decrease from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in the FTEF/30 ratio for Anthropology courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2014-15.

There was a substantial decrease in the number of Anthropology Extended Learning enrollments in 201819 from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

Calculation Categories

| Language | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimal to No Difference | $<1.0 \%$ |
| Slight Increase/Decrease | Between $1.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ |
| Moderate Increase/Decrease | Between $5.1 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ |
| Substantial Increase/Decrease | $>10.0 \%$ |


| Comparison of Enrollment Trends | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 732 | 1,021 | 976 | 1,087 | 1,108 |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $6.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Online | $37.8 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $55.6 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $53.0 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $33.1 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ |
| Male | $66.3 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $19.3 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $1.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $12.2 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $20.5 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $0.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| White | $32.0 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $13.0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $1.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $10.5 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $18.0 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $15.8 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $15.8 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $10.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $17.6 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $11.3 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Anthropology courses made up $1.9 \%$ of all state-funded enrollment for 2018-19. The percentage difference in Anthropology course enrollment in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. Enrollment in Anthropology during 2018-19 showed $4.4 \%$ of courses were taught traditional (face-to-face), $45.2 \%$ were taught online, $0.0 \%$ were taught in the hybrid modality, and $50.4 \%$ were taught in the correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) modality.

In 2018-19, Anthropology enrollment consisted of $33.2 \%$ female, $65.3 \%$ male, and $1.5 \%$ students of unknown gender. In 2018-19, Anthropology enrollment consisted of 10.1\% African American students, 1.3\% American Indian/AK Native students, 13.7\% Asian students, 22.9\% Hispanic students, 0.6\% Pacific Islander/HI Native students, 35.7\% White students, $14.1 \%$ multi-ethnic students, and $1.5 \%$ students of other or unknown ethnicity. The age breakdown for 2018-19 enrollments in Anthropology revealed 14.1\% aged 19 or less, $19.7 \%$ aged 20 to $24,12.5 \%$ aged 25 to $29,13.3 \%$ aged 30 to $34,12.1 \%$ aged 35 to 39, $16.9 \%$ aged 40 to $49,11.8 \%$ aged 50 and older, and $0.0 \%$ unknown.

Success and Retention: Anthropology

| Comparison of Success Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Success Rate | $65.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard Success <br> Rate | $55.4 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Subject Success Rate | $73.0 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $74.5 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ |
| Online | $80.6 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $67.8 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $76.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| Male | $71.1 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $67.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 3 \%}$ | $62.7 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $14.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $82.0 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $70.0 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $75.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| White | $76.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $74.5 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $72.7 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $76.6 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $92.2 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $67.4 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $70.4 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $70.7 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $70.9 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $75.0 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $84.3 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course success rate in Anthropology courses in 2018-19 showed a substantial increase from 2017-18 and a moderate increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Anthropology 2018-19 course success rate to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%) and the institution-set standard* (59.8\%) for credit course success, the Anthropology course success rate was moderately higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course success.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Anthropology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a moderate increase for traditional (face-to-
face) Anthropology courses, a minimal difference for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a minimal difference for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Anthropology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a minimal difference for female students in Anthropology courses, a minimal difference for male students, and a substantial increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Anthropology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a substantial decrease for African American students in Anthropology courses, a substantial increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a moderate increase for Asian students, a slight decrease for Hispanic students, a substantial decrease for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a slight decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a moderate decrease for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Anthropology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a moderate increase for students aged 19 or less in Anthropology courses, a slight decrease for students aged 20 to 24, a slight decrease for students aged 25 to 29, a slight increase for students aged 30 to $\mathbf{3 4}$, a slight increase for students aged 35 to 39 , a slight decrease for students aged 40 to 49, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

| Comparison of Retention Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Retention Rate | $82.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard <br> Retention Rate | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Subject Retention Rate | $90.1 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $95.7 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $95.9 \%$ |
| Online | $90.8 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, <br> Other DL) | $88.9 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $92.6 \%$ | $90.6 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ |
| Male | $88.8 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ |
| Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $90.0 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $57.1 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $92.1 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $88.0 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $92.2 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $88.3 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $90.9 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $90.9 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $91.5 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $87.8 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $86.2 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $88.6 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $89.1 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $98.8 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course retention rate in Anthropology courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a slight increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Anthropology 2018-19 course retention rate to the College's overall retention average* (86.1\%) and the institution-set standard* (72.3\%) for credit course retention, the Anthropology course retention rate was moderately higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course retention.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Anthropology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight increase for traditional (face-toface) Anthropology courses, a slight decrease for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a slight increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Anthropology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for female students in Anthropology courses, a minimal difference for male students, and a moderate increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Anthropology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a minimal difference for African American students in Anthropology courses, a moderate increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight increase for Asian students, a slight decrease for Hispanic students, a moderate increase for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a minimal difference for White students, a minimal difference for multi-ethnic students, and a minimal difference for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Anthropology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a minimal difference for students aged 19 or less in Anthropology courses, a slight decrease for students aged 20 to 24, a slight decrease for students aged 25 to 29, a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 4}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{4 0}$ to 49, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

Internal Analysis and Program Effectiveness: Geography

| Productivity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 315 | 367 | 324 | 489 | 490 |
| State-Funded Resident FTES | $6,073.30$ | $6,343.88$ | $5,929.28$ | $6,189.33$ | $6,104.88$ |
| Subject Resident FTES | 28.46 | 33.19 | 28.89 | 44.43 | 44.43 |
| Sections | 7 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 15 |
| Fill Rate | $85.6 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ |
| WSCH/FTEF 595 Efficiency | 643 | 554 | 575 | 532 | 528 |
| FTEF/30 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Extended Learning Enrollment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The percentage change in the number of Geography enrollments in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15.

The percentage change in 2018-19 resident FTES in Geography credit courses showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of sections in Geography courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of sections in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the fill rate in 2018-19 for Geography courses showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease in comparison with the fill rate in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the WSCH/FTEF ratio in Geography courses in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in the FTEF/30 ratio for Geography courses in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2014-15.

There was no comparative data in the number of Geography Extended Learning enrollments in 2018-19 from 2017-18 and no comparative data from 2014-15.

| Comparison of Enrollment Trends | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 315 | 367 | 324 | 489 | 490 |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $8.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Online | $91.4 \%$ | $95.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $58.7 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ |
| Male | $39.7 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $4.4 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $1.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $33.3 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $8.9 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| White | $32.1 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $20.0 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $0.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 \%}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $37.8 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $15.9 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $5.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $6.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $7.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $11.1 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Geography courses made up $0.8 \%$ of all state-funded enrollment for 2018-19. The percentage difference in Geography course enrollment in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. Enrollment in Geography during 2018-19 showed $0.0 \%$ of courses were taught traditional (face-to-face), $100.0 \%$ were taught online, $0.0 \%$ were taught in the hybrid modality, and $0.0 \%$ were taught in the correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) modality.

In 2018-19, Geography enrollment consisted of $63.1 \%$ female, $35.7 \%$ male, and $1.2 \%$ students of unknown gender. In 2018-19, Geography enrollment consisted of 4.9\% African American students, 0.2\% American Indian/AK Native students, 22.0\% Asian students, 11.0\% Hispanic students, $0.8 \%$ Pacific Islander/HI Native students, $34.7 \%$ White students, $23.7 \%$ multi-ethnic students, and $2.7 \%$ students of other or unknown ethnicity. The age breakdown for 2018-19 enrollments in Geography revealed 18.8\% aged 19 or less, $37.8 \%$ aged 20 to 24, 13.3\% aged 25 to 29, 11.6\% aged 30 to 34, 6.9\% aged 35 to 39, 6.5\% aged 40 to $\mathbf{4 9}, 5.1 \%$ aged 50 and older, and $0.0 \%$ unknown.

## Success and Retention: Geography

| Comparison of Success Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Success Rate | $65.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard Success <br> Rate | $55.4 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Subject Success Rate | $71.1 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $88.9 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | - | - | - |
| Online | $69.4 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | - | - | - | - | - |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6 \%}$ | $67.6 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ |
| Male | $66.4 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | $60.0 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0 \%}$ | $51.9 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $82.9 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $57.1 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | - | $75.0 \%$ | - | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| White | $70.3 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $66.7 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - | $83.3 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $81.3 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $66.4 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $78.0 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $66.7 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $70.0 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $56.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $77.1 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course success rate in Geography courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Geography 2018-19 course success rate to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%) and the institution-set standard* (59.8\%) for credit course success, the Geography course success rate was substantially higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course success.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Geography success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was no comparative data for traditional (face-to-
face) Geography courses, a minimal difference for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and no comparative data for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Geography success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a slight decrease for female students in Geography courses, a slight increase for male students, and a substantial increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Geography success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a slight decrease for African American students in Geography courses, a substantial increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight increase for Asian students, a minimal difference for Hispanic students, a substantial decrease for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a slight decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a minimal difference for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Geography success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a slight increase for students aged 19 or less in Geography courses, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{2 0}$ to 24, a moderate decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to $\mathbf{2 9}$, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 34, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{4 0}$ to 49, a moderate decrease for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

| Comparison of Retention Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Retention Rate | $82.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard <br> Retention Rate | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Subject Retention Rate | $75.9 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $92.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | - | - | - |
| Online | $74.3 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, <br> Other DL) | - | - | - | - | - |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ | $75.8 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Male | $70.4 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $80.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $42.9 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $84.8 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $67.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | - | $75.0 \%$ | - | $100.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| White | $78.2 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $66.7 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | - | $91.7 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $85.4 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $72.3 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $82.0 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $83.3 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $75.0 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $56.0 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $95.2 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $77.1 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course retention rate in Geography courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Geography 2018-19 course retention rate to the College's overall retention average* ( $86.1 \%$ ) and the institution-set standard* ( $72.3 \%$ ) for credit course retention, the Geography course retention rate was moderately higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course retention.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Geography retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was no comparative data for traditional (face-to-face) Geography courses, a minimal difference for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and no comparative data for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Geography retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for female students in Geography courses, a slight increase for male students, and a moderate increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Geography retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a minimal difference for African American students in Geography courses, a moderate increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight decrease for Asian students, a minimal difference for Hispanic students, a substantial decrease for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a minimal difference for multi-ethnic students, and a slight increase for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Geography retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight increase for students aged 19 or less in Geography courses, a slight increase for students aged 20 to 24, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 29 , a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 4}$, a moderate decrease for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a moderate decrease for students aged 40 to $\mathbf{4 9}$, a substantial decrease for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

Internal Analysis and Program Effectiveness: History

| Productivity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 2,901 | 3,107 | 3,142 | 3,176 | 3,158 |
| State-Funded Resident FTES | $6,073.30$ | $6,343.88$ | $5,929.28$ | $6,189.33$ | $6,104.88$ |
| Subject Resident FTES | 262.57 | 281.17 | 283.16 | 289.81 | $\mathbf{2 8 9 . 1 9}$ |
| Sections | 47 | 43 | 50 | 52 | 53 |
| Fill Rate | $82.5 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ |
| WSCH/FTEF 595 Efficiency | 936 | 953 | 884 | 865 | 796 |
| FTEF/30 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Extended Learning Enrollment | 1,357 | 1,207 | 1,150 | 695 | 449 |

The percentage change in the number of History enrollments in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a moderate increase from 2014-15.

The percentage change in 2018-19 resident FTES in History credit courses showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of sections in History courses in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of sections in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the fill rate in 2018-19 for History courses showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a slight decrease in comparison with the fill rate in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the WSCH/FTEF ratio in History courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in the FTEF/30 ratio for History courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2014-15.

There was a substantial decrease in the number of History Extended Learning enrollments in 2018-19 from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

Calculation Categories

| Language | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimal to No Difference | $<1.0 \%$ |
| Slight Increase/Decrease | Between $1.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ |
| Moderate Increase/Decrease | Between $5.1 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ |
| Substantial Increase/Decrease | $>10.0 \%$ |


| Comparison of Enrollment Trends | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 2,901 | 3,107 | 3,142 | 3,176 | 3,158 |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $5.4 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Online | $46.9 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $2.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $45.4 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $35.7 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ |
| Male | $63.4 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $59.6 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $13.5 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $1.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Asian | $15.9 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $19.3 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| White | $35.2 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $12.7 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $9.9 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $25.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $16.2 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $14.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $11.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $14.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $9.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

History courses made up $5.3 \%$ of all state-funded enrollment for 2018-19. The percentage difference in History course enrollment in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a moderate increase from 2014-15. Enrollment in History during 2018-19 showed $1.4 \%$ of courses were taught traditional (face-to-face), $61.1 \%$ were taught online, $0.2 \%$ were taught in the hybrid modality, and $37.3 \%$ were taught in the correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) modality.

In 2018-19, History enrollment consisted of $37.3 \%$ female, $61.1 \%$ male, and $1.6 \%$ students of unknown gender. In 2018-19, History enrollment consisted of 9.8\% African American students, $0.8 \%$ American Indian/AK Native students, 14.4\% Asian students, 19.5\% Hispanic students, 0.6\% Pacific Islander/HI Native students, $36.3 \%$ White students, $17.0 \%$ multi-ethnic students, and $1.6 \%$ students of other or unknown ethnicity. The age breakdown for 2018-19 enrollments in History revealed 16.0\% aged 19 or less, $22.3 \%$ aged 20 to 24, 13.9\% aged 25 to 29, 11.3\% aged 30 to 34, 10.7\% aged 35 to 39, 14.7\% aged 40 to $49,11.1 \%$ aged 50 and older, and $0.0 \%$ unknown.

Success and Retention: History

| Comparison of Success Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Success Rate | $65.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard Success <br> Rate | $55.4 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Subject Success Rate | $61.1 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ | $81.2 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Online | $59.4 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $37.3 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $61.8 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $80.8 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $59.3 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| Male | $62.1 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $55.6 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $47.2 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $51.7 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $71.4 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $54.8 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $40.0 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| White | $67.5 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $55.6 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $63.8 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $66.3 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $57.5 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $55.2 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $63.1 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $63.5 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $74.1 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $64.8 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $63.2 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course success rate in History courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the History 2018-19 course success rate to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%) and the institution-set standard* ( $59.8 \%$ ) for credit course success, the History course success rate was slightly higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course success.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall History success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a minimal difference for traditional (face-to-face) History
courses, a slight decrease for online courses, a substantial decrease for hybrid courses, and a slight increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall History success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a slight decrease for female students in History courses, a slight increase for male students, and a moderate decrease for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall History success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a substantial decrease for African American students in History courses, a substantial increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight increase for Asian students, a slight decrease for Hispanic students, a substantial decrease for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a moderate increase for White students, a moderate decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a moderate decrease for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall History success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a moderate increase for students aged 19 or less in History courses, a moderate decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to $\mathbf{2 9}$, a slight increase for students aged 30 to 34, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{4 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 9}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

| Comparison of Retention Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Retention Rate | $82.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard <br> Retention Rate | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Subject Retention Rate | $82.6 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $91.7 \%$ | $92.2 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ |
| Online | $81.7 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $59.7 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, <br> Other DL) | $83.7 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $94.4 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $81.3 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ |
| Male | $83.2 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $96.3 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $\mathbf{7 9 . 4 \%}$ | $73.4 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $75.9 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $85.2 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $81.7 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $60.0 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ |
| White | $84.7 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $80.5 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $79.3 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $84.7 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $81.1 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $84.5 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $80.0 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $81.5 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $83.1 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $84.9 \%$ | $84.8 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $84.0 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $83.8 \%$ | $84.4 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course retention rate in History courses in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a moderate increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the History 2018-19 course retention rate to the College's overall retention average* (86.1\%) and the institution-set standard* (72.3\%) for credit course retention, the History course retention rate was slightly higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course retention.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall History retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for traditional (face-to-face) History courses, a slight decrease for online courses, a moderate increase for hybrid courses, and a slight increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall History retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for female students in History courses, a slight increase for male students, and a minimal difference for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall History retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for African American students in History courses, a slight increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a minimal difference for Asian students, a minimal difference for Hispanic students, a minimal difference for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a slight decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a moderate increase for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall History retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight increase for students aged 19 or less in History courses, a slight decrease for students aged 20 to 24, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 29, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 4}$, a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a slight increase for students aged 40 to 49, a slight increase for students aged 50 and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

## Program Awards

| Awards | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degrees (Coastline Total) | 1,609 | 1,893 | 2,074 | 2,025 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 8 8}$ |
| Subject Degrees Awarded | 65 | 92 | 128 | 157 | 192 |
| Certificates (Coastline Total) | 692 | 600 | 602 | 628 | 709 |
| Subject Certificates Awarded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The percentage change in the number of History degrees awarded in 2018-19 showed a substantial increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of degrees awarded in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of History certificates awarded in 2018-19 showed no comparative data from 2017-18 and showed no comparative data in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2014-15.

Internal Analysis and Program Effectiveness: Political Science

| Productivity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 1,284 | 1,262 | 1,162 | 1,174 | 1,097 |
| State-Funded Resident FTES | $6,073.30$ | $6,343.88$ | $5,929.28$ | $6,189.33$ | $6,104.88$ |
| Subject Resident FTES | 111.47 | 110.84 | 106.06 | 107.33 | 99.56 |
| Sections | 24 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 24 |
| Fill Rate | $73.4 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ |
| WSCH/FTEF 595 Efficiency | 758 | 804 | 815 | 759 | 708 |
| FTEF/30 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Extended Learning Enrollment | 146 | 150 | 91 | 106 | 76 |

The percentage change in the number of Political Science enrollments in 2018-19 showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in 2018-19 resident FTES in Political Science credit courses showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of sections in Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a minimal difference from the number of sections in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the fill rate in 2018-19 for Political Science courses showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a minimal difference in comparison with the fill rate in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the WSCH/FTEF ratio in Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in the FTEF/30 ratio for Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a minimal difference from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2014-15.

There was a substantial decrease in the number of Political Science Extended Learning enrollments in 2018-19 from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

Calculation Categories

| Language | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimal to No Difference | $<1.0 \%$ |
| Slight Increase/Decrease | Between $1.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ |
| Moderate Increase/Decrease | Between $5.1 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ |
| Substantial Increase/Decrease | $>10.0 \%$ |


| Comparison of Enrollment Trends | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 1,284 | 1,262 | 1,162 | 1,174 | 1,097 |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $11.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| Online | $55.1 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $2.2 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $31.8 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $43.8 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ |
| Male | $55.5 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $17.4 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Asian | $14.8 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $17.1 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| White | $33.7 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $14.0 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $1.8 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $10.8 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $28.5 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $16.9 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $13.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $10.4 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $11.8 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $7.9 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Political Science courses made up $1.8 \%$ of all state-funded enrollment for 2018-19. The percentage difference in Political Science course enrollment in 2018-19 showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15. Enrollment in Political Science during 2018-19 showed 11.5\% of courses were taught traditional (face-to-face), $51.0 \%$ were taught online, $0.0 \%$ were taught in the hybrid modality, and $37.5 \%$ were taught in the correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) modality.

In 2018-19, Political Science enrollment consisted of $39.4 \%$ female, $58.4 \%$ male, and $2.2 \%$ students of unknown gender. In 2018-19, Political Science enrollment consisted of 11.0\% African American students, 0.6\% American Indian/AK Native students, 12.3\% Asian students, 22.2\% Hispanic students, 0.2\% Pacific Islander/HI Native students, $34.7 \%$ White students, $17.0 \%$ multi-ethnic students, and $2.0 \%$ students of other or unknown ethnicity. The age breakdown for 2018-19 enrollments in Political Science revealed $16.1 \%$ aged 19 or less, $22.1 \%$ aged 20 to 24, $17.1 \%$ aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 29, 10.0\% aged 30 to $\mathbf{3 4}, 11.6 \%$ aged 35 to $\mathbf{3 9}, 13.3 \%$ aged 40 to $\mathbf{4 9}, 8.1 \%$ aged 50 and older, and $0.0 \%$ unknown.

Success and Retention: Political Science

| Comparison of Success Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Success Rate | $65.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard Success <br> Rate | $55.4 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Subject Success Rate | $48.8 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $86.5 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| Online | $44.1 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $25.0 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $44.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $49.8 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| Male | $47.8 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $55.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 \%}$ | $40.0 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $66.7 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $63.8 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $42.3 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $49.6 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $57.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $55.2 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $53.7 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $40.9 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $54.9 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $45.7 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $50.0 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $49.1 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $51.2 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $47.2 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $47.8 \%$ | $56.8 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course success rate in Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a slight decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Political Science 2018-19 course success rate to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%) and the institution-set standard* (59.8\%) for credit course success, the Political Science course success rate was substantially lower than the college average and slightly lower than the institution-set standard for credit course success.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Political Science success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a substantial increase for traditional (face-to-face)

Political Science courses, a substantial decrease for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a substantial increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Political Science success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a moderate decrease for female students in Political Science courses, a moderate increase for male students, and a substantial increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Political Science success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a minimal difference for African American students in Political Science courses, a substantial increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight increase for Asian students, a slight decrease for Hispanic students, a substantial increase for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a moderate increase for White students, a moderate decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a substantial decrease for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Political Science success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a minimal difference for students aged 19 or less in Political Science courses, a substantial decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 0}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$, a moderate decrease for students aged 25 to 29, a substantial increase for students aged 30 to 34, a slight increase for students aged 35 to 39, a substantial increase for students aged 40 to 49, a substantial increase for students aged 50 and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

| Comparison of Retention Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Retention Rate | $82.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard <br> Retention Rate | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Subject Retention Rate | $78.5 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $94.3 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $96.3 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| Online | $76.6 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $85.7 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, <br> Other DL) | $75.4 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 7 \%}$ | $74.6 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| Male | $77.5 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $88.9 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $\mathbf{7 1 . 8 \%}$ | $66.8 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $83.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $82.7 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $76.4 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $71.4 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $79.6 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $81.1 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $86.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $86.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 1 \%}$ | $78.9 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $78.7 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $74.0 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $84.3 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $76.0 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $71.8 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $80.0 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $76.8 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course retention rate in Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a slight decrease from 2017-18 and a moderate decrease from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Political Science 2018-19 course retention rate to the College's overall retention average* (86.1\%) and the institution-set standard* (72.3\%) for credit course retention, the Political Science course retention rate was substantially lower than the college average and minimal to no difference than the institution-set standard for credit course retention.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Political Science retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a substantial increase for traditional (face-toface) Political Science courses, a substantial decrease for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a substantial increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Political Science retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a substantial decrease for female students in Political Science courses, a moderate increase for male students, and a moderate increase for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Political Science retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight increase for African American students in Political Science courses, a substantial increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a slight decrease for Asian students, a slight increase for Hispanic students, a substantial increase for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a slight decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a substantial decrease for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Political Science retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a minimal difference for students aged 19 or less in Political Science courses, a substantial decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 0}$ to 24, a slight decrease for students aged 25 to 29, a moderate increase for students aged 30 to 34 , a substantial increase for students aged 35 to 39 , a moderate increase for students aged 40 to 49 , a moderate increase for students aged 50 and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

Internal Analysis and Program Effectiveness: Sociology

| Productivity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 2,141 | 2,287 | 2,410 | 2,636 | 2,247 |
| State-Funded Resident FTES | $6,073.30$ | $6,343.88$ | $5,929.28$ | $6,189.33$ | $6,104.88$ |
| Subject Resident FTES | 194.86 | 207.76 | 219.92 | 242.35 | 206.66 |
| Sections | 21 | 26 | 28 | 34 | 40 |
| Fill Rate | $84.5 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| WSCH/FTEF 595 Efficiency | 1,223 | 1,114 | 1,139 | 1,074 | 830 |
| FTEF/30 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Extended Learning Enrollment | 378 | 360 | 308 | 227 | 188 |

The percentage change in the number of Sociology enrollments in 2018-19 showed a substantial decrease from 2017-18 and a slight increase from 2014-15.

The percentage change in 2018-19 resident FTES in Sociology credit courses showed a substantial decrease from 2017-18 and a moderate increase in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of sections in Sociology courses in 2018-19 showed a substantial increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of sections in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the fill rate in 2018-19 for Sociology courses showed a moderate decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease in comparison with the fill rate in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the WSCH/FTEF ratio in Sociology courses in 2018-19 showed a substantial decrease from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

The percentage change in the FTEF/30 ratio for Sociology courses in 2018-19 showed a substantial increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2014-15.

There was a substantial decrease in the number of Sociology Extended Learning enrollments in 2018-19 from 2017-18 and a substantial decrease from 2014-15.

Calculation Categories

| Language | Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimal to No Difference | $<1.0 \%$ |
| Slight Increase/Decrease | Between $1.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ |
| Moderate Increase/Decrease | Between $5.1 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ |
| Substantial Increase/Decrease | $>10.0 \%$ |


| Comparison of Enrollment Trends | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Enrollment | 61,279 | 63,824 | 60,164 | 61,368 | 59,444 |
| Subject State-Funded Enrollment | 2,141 | 2,287 | 2,410 | 2,636 | 2,247 |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $\mathbf{2 . 7} \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Online | $28.4 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ |
| Hybrid | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $68.9 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $25.9 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| Male | $73.6 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $22.5 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $1.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $11.3 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $23.6 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| White | $28.4 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $10.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $2.1 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $4.4 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $15.1 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $16.4 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $15.6 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $14.9 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $21.3 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $12.1 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Sociology courses made up $3.8 \%$ of all state-funded enrollment for 2018-19. The percentage difference in Sociology course enrollment in 2018-19 showed a substantial decrease from 2017-18 and a slight increase from 2014-15. Enrollment in Sociology during 2018-19 showed $2.2 \%$ of courses were taught traditional (face-to-face), $39.0 \%$ were taught online, $0.0 \%$ were taught in the hybrid modality, and $58.8 \%$ were taught in the correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) modality.

In 2018-19, Sociology enrollment consisted of 31.3\% female, $67.5 \%$ male, and $1.2 \%$ students of unknown gender. In 2018-19, Sociology enrollment consisted of 15.4\% African American students, 1.2\% American Indian/AK Native students, 13.0\% Asian students, $24.6 \%$ Hispanic students, $0.8 \%$ Pacific Islander/HI Native students, $30.6 \%$ White students, $13.4 \%$ multi-ethnic students, and $1.1 \%$ students of other or unknown ethnicity. The age breakdown for 2018-19 enrollments in Sociology revealed 8.3\% aged 19 or less, 14.2\% aged 20 to 24, 14.3\% aged 25 to 29, 14.6\% aged 30 to 34, 13.1\% aged 35 to 39, 19.1\% aged 40 to $49,14.1 \%$ aged 50 and older, and $0.0 \%$ unknown.

## Success and Retention: Sociology

| Comparison of Success Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Success Rate | $65.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard Success <br> Rate | $55.4 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ |
| Subject Success Rate | $62.7 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $75.9 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| Online | $73.2 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, Other <br> DL) | $57.9 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $69.5 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $69.9 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ |
| Male | $60.3 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $66.7 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $51.7 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $57.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $77.7 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $61.0 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $27.3 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| White | $67.6 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $77.1 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $62.5 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $65.2 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $67.0 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $54.9 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $58.7 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $62.8 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $67.6 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $67.3 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $62.2 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | $0.0 \%$ | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course success rate in Sociology courses in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Sociology 2018-19 course success rate to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%) and the institution-set standard* (59.8\%) for credit course success, the Sociology course success rate was slightly lower than the college average and substantially higher than the institution-set standard for credit course success.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Sociology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a moderate decrease for traditional (face-to-
face) Sociology courses, a slight decrease for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a slight increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Sociology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a slight decrease for female students in Sociology courses, a slight increase for male students, and a minimal difference for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Sociology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a substantial decrease for African American students in Sociology courses, a slight decrease for American Indian/AK Native students, a moderate increase for Asian students, a slight decrease for Hispanic students, a substantial decrease for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a moderate increase for White students, a moderate decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a moderate increase for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Sociology success rate for 2018-19, the success rate was a minimal difference for students aged 19 or less in Sociology courses, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{2 0}$ to 24 , a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 29 , a slight decrease for students aged 30 to 34, a slight increase for students aged 35 to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{4 0}$ to 49, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

| Comparison of Retention Rates | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State-Funded Retention Rate | $82.3 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ |
| College Institution Set Standard <br> Retention Rate | $70.1 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ |
| Subject Retention Rate | $79.9 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |


| Modality | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional | $91.4 \%$ | $93.2 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |
| Online | $84.8 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ |
| Hybrid | - | - | - | - | - |
| Correspondence (Cable, Telecourse, <br> Other DL) | $77.5 \%$ | $87.8 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |


| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $84.9 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |
| Male | $78.3 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | $66.7 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |


| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $76.2 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |
| American Indian/AK Native | $76.2 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $96.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $87.0 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $78.9 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $86.9 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander/HI Native | $54.5 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |
| White | $82.6 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ |
| Multi-Ethnicity | $78.1 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | $76.1 \%$ | $88.4 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ |


| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 or Less | $83.0 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $76.5 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $77.8 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $79.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | $89.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $82.7 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $81.5 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $81.1 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | - | $100.0 \%$ | - | - | - |

The percentage difference in the course retention rate in Sociology courses in 2018-19 showed a slight increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from 2014-15. When comparing the percentage point difference in the Sociology 2018-19 course retention rate to the College's overall retention average* ( $86.1 \%$ ) and the institution-set standard* (72.3\%) for credit course retention, the Sociology course retention rate was slightly higher than the college average and substantially higher than the institutionset standard for credit course retention.

When comparing the percentage point difference between instructional modalities to the overall Sociology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a moderate increase for traditional (face-toface) Sociology courses, a moderate decrease for online courses, no comparative data for hybrid courses, and a slight increase for correspondence (cable, telecourse, and other distance learning) courses.

When comparing the percentage point difference between genders to the overall Sociology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for female students in Sociology courses, a slight increase for male students, and a slight decrease for students of unknown gender.

When comparing the percentage point difference between ethnicity groups to the overall Sociology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a slight decrease for African American students in Sociology courses, a moderate increase for American Indian/AK Native students, a minimal difference for Asian students, a minimal difference for Hispanic students, a moderate increase for Pacific Islander/HI Native students, a slight increase for White students, a slight decrease for multi-ethnic students, and a slight increase for students of other or unknown ethnicity.

When comparing the percentage point difference between age groups to the overall Sociology retention rate for 2018-19, the retention rate was a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{1 9}$ or less in Sociology courses, a slight decrease for students aged 20 to 24 , a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{2 5}$ to 29 , a minimal difference for students aged $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 4}$, a slight decrease for students aged $\mathbf{3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 9}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{4 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 9}$, a slight increase for students aged $\mathbf{5 0}$ and older, and no comparative data for students of unknown age.

## Program Awards

| Awards | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degrees (Coastline Total) | 1,609 | 1,893 | 2,074 | 2,025 | 2,188 |
| Subject Degrees Awarded | 272 | 332 | 372 | 433 | 505 |
| Certificates (Coastline Total) | 692 | 600 | 602 | 628 | 709 |
| Subject Certificates Awarded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The percentage change in the number of Sociology degrees awarded in 2018-19 showed a substantial increase from 2017-18 and a substantial increase from the number of degrees awarded in 2014-15.

The percentage change in the number of Sociology certificates awarded in 2018-19 showed no comparative data from 2017-18 and showed no comparative data in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2014-15.

## Equity

While the demographic data varies between its five disciplines, the Social Sciences department has been keenly aware of equity gaps across the program. Therefore, the department has taken steps toward diversifying course offerings to ensure students more options, both in terms of classes and learning modalities. With the addition of new courses such as Perspectives of Sex and Gender, Racial and Ethnic Relations (Sociology), and Food and Global Culture (Anthropology), equity gaps are expected to be narrowed. In addition, most instructors have adopted OER textbooks, closing the gap which once existed for underprivileged and underrepresented students.

## Achievement

In comparison to college-wide success rates, subject success rates are higher than institution rates in four of the five Social Sciences disciplines, with three being substantially higher. All achievement rates continue to show steady increases from previous years in four of the five disciplines. Political Science continues to show a slight decrease. Overall, data indicates the program is particularly strong in our distance learning offerings which enable our students (many of whom work full-time) to complete their degrees in a timely manner.

## Program Efficiency

Although all five disciplines showed only slight differences over the past year, FTES and enrollment rates increased moderately to substantially since 2014-15 in four disciplines. Sociology showed moderate increase in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15 and Anthropology, Geography, and History showed substantial increases in comparison with resident FTES in 2014-15. Political Science showed moderate decreases in both FTES and enrollment compared to 2017-18. Overall, Social Sciences continues to demonstrate strength in enrollments. With the addition of courses which have been added in three disciplines and two new degrees which are near completion, the department continues to work toward its overall role in helping students achieve their goals of obtaining a degree or certificate from Coastline.

## Student (SLOs) and Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs)

A SLO Map was created in the previous year (2018-19) which indicated when each course will be SLO assessed and reassessed. Each course in each discipline was to be similarly evaluated, however, not all courses were assessed in the previous year. Department Chair will notify faculty when their class(es) are to be assessed and will provide training, if necessary, for those new faculty who have not been trained. During the Fall 2019 Social Sciences Department Meeting, three new faculty were trained on how to collect and report SLOs in their courses. It was also decided that we will discuss the SLO outcome results in each future meeting to determine where our courses have strongly supported student learning and where improvements need to be made. This plan was not completed Fall 2019 and was stalled completely in Spring 2020 when the Covid-19 pandemic caused a college-wide reprioritization of tasks. With adjustments to communication strategies which have been made in our department, chair will address SLO reporting with SLO officer, develop a plan, and communicate with the Social Sciences faculty in Fall 2020 to get SLO reporting up-to-date, accurate, and current.

Aggregate Social Sciences Program Student Learning Outcomes (PSLOs), 2015-2016 through 2018-2019

| Social Sciences PSLOs | N | Able and <br> Confident | Able and <br> Somewhat <br> Confident | Able and <br> Not <br> Confident | Not <br> Able |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyze the meaning of primary and/or secondary <br> historical sources and their significance as evidence. | 45 | $68.9 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Apply major theories and principles to everyday life <br> and determine the impact of these theories on the <br> individual and/or society as a whole. | 45 | $80.0 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Apply perspectives from a variety of disciplines to <br> develop an understanding of American culture, past <br> and present, and its impact upon both the peoples of <br> the United States and those outside its borders. | 45 | $75.5 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Critically evaluate historical sources, literature, art, <br> film, music, or other types of cultural expressions in <br> terms of their relevance to the American experience. | 45 | $66.7 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Critically evaluate the social, cultural, political and/or <br> economic characteristics of past societies and explain <br> how and why these characteristics change over time. | 45 | $77.8 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Employ methods and theories from different <br> disciplines to analyze social and behavioral problems, | 45 | $66.6 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| policies related to these problems, and how they <br> both change over time. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaluate the impact of social and cultural diversity <br> upon the development of past societies. | 45 | $75.5 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Follow directions and apply effective communication <br> skills in a variety of settings. | 45 | $86.6 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Support opinions/ideas using solid research <br> principles. | 45 | $75.6 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Utilize methods and theories from the social and <br> behavioral sciences to collect, interpret, and apply <br> quantitative and qualitative evidence regarding the <br> human experience as an individual and in the context <br> of the larger society. | 44 | $70.4 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |

The aggregate post-graduation survey results show that the majority of graduates of the Social Sciences Program were able and confident or somewhat confident in demonstrating the PSLOs. Graduates indicated that their ability and confidence in following directions and applying effective communication skills in a variety of settings was highest. In contrast, confidence and ability was lowest in critically evaluating the social, cultural, political and/or economic characteristics of past societies and explaining how and why these characteristics change over time.

## Curriculum Review

## Curriculum Review

| Course | Title | Term Reviewed | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ANTH C100 | Cultural Anthropology | 2018 | Current |
| ANTH C120 | Introduction to Archeology | 2019 | Current |
| ANTH C150 | World Cultures | 2019 | Current |


| Course |  | Term Reviewed | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ANTH C185 | Physical Anthropology | 2019 | Current |
| GEOG C100 | World Regional Geography | 2015 | To be reviewed |
| GEOG C150 | California Geography | 2017 | Current |
| GEOG C180 | Physical Geography | 2019 | Current |
| GEOG C185 | Cultural Geography | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C101 | Military History of the United States | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C115 | Latin American History and Culture | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C121 | History of American Women | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C122 | Chicano History | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C124 | Vietnamese American History and Culture | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C125 | Modern Iraqi History and Culture | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C126 | African-American History | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C128 | History Of Modern China | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C132 | History of Britain and Ireland 1 | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C135 | History of Britain and Ireland 2 | 2019 | Suspended |
| HIST C146 | History of Mexico | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C150 | History of California | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C155 | The American West | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C161 | World History 1 | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C162 | World History 2 | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C170 | United States History to 1876 | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C175 | United States History Since 1876 | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C180 | Western Civilization 1 | 2019 | Current |
| HIST C185 | Western Civilization 2 | 2019 | Current |
| PSCI C101 | Survey of Current Issues | 2019 | Current |
| PSCI C140 | Politics of the Middle East | 2019 | Current |
| PSCI C160 | Law and Democracy | 2019 | Current |
| PSCI C180 | American Government | 2019 | Current |
| PSCI C185 | Comparative Government and Politics | 2018 | Current |
| SOC C100 | Introduction to Sociology | 2018 | Current |
| SOC C110 | Introduction to Marriage and Family | Current |  |
| SOC C185 | Analysis of Social Problems | 2018 | Current |
| SOC C120 | Introduction to Gerontology | Current |  |
| SOC C130 | Globalization and Social Change | Current |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Progress on Initiative(s)

Progress on Forward Strategies

| Initiative(s) | Status | Progress Status Description | Outcome(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Develop Geography transfer major (AD-T) | In-Progress | A GIS COR was written and approved, to be offered Fall 2021. Department Chair will work with Geography Instructors to develop AD-T in CurricuNET Fall 2021. | Outcome will be diversity of course offerings for students wishing to major in Geography and/or obtain a degree for transfer. |


| Initiative(s) | Status | Progress Status Description | Outcome(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Develop Anthropology transfer major (AD-T) | In-Progress | With the addition of ANTH 175, the AD-T has continued to build. The remaining courses to be added (CORs written and courses approved) will be completed by Spring 2021. | Outcome will be diversity of course offerings for students wishing to major in Anthropology and/or obtain a degree for transfer. |
| Improve retention and success rates throughout the program, but particularly in Political Science | In-Progress | The course success rate in Political Science courses in 2018-19 showed a slight decrease from 2017-18. Compared to the College's overall success average* (72.2\%), the Political Science course success rate was substantially lower than the college average. <br> The success rate for 201819 showed a substantial increase for traditional (face-to-face) Political Science courses. <br> Nonetheless, overall decreases in success and retention rates must be addressed. | Department Chair will work with full-time instructor and Dean of Instruction to create a plan for improving retention rates, increasing/modifying courses. As a result of fulltime instructor's retirement, Chair and Dean will initiate project by Spring 2021. |
| Work with the faculty and the college to lower costs for students, specifically with regard to textbooks | Completed | Several faculty in the program have adopted OER textbooks and each new faculty hire has been informed of the goal | Lower Costs for all students |
| In conjunction with the Distance Learning department, ensure that all telecourses offered in the program are current. | Completed | Development began on working with the DL department to update our Political Science telecourse which required collaboration with Distance Learning Office. | Updates to Political Science telecourse has been completed and improvements in retention and success rates are expected. |

# Response to Program and Department Review Committee Recommendation(s) 

Progress on Recommendations

| Recommendation(s) | Status | Response Summary |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Explore opportunities to offer degrees in general <br> education areas that do not award any program <br> specific degrees (ANTH, GEOG, PSCI). | In-progress | Since the retirement of full-time PSCI <br> Instructor, the plan to write new <br> courses which will lead to the <br> development of AD-T has stalled. Two <br> part-time instructors have volunteered <br> to create new courses, however, and <br> department chair will meet with them <br> to discuss by Spring 2021. |
|  | Addressed | A new GIS course has been completed <br> which will help complete the <br> requirements for the GEOG AD-T <br> which will be sent to curriculum Spring <br> 2021. |
| Continue working with faculty and the Faculty | Addressed | The ANTH AD-T is near completion and <br> will be offered Fall 2021. |
| Center to improve RSI in online courses. |  | Ensen new instructors are Canvas <br> trained in RSI and that all instructors <br> are current in RSI training |

## Program Planning and Communication Strategies

Chair continues to meet with Geography, Anthropology, and Political Science faculty to determine curriculum offerings/suggestions. Social Science Faculty and Chair meet during and after All-College Meeting to discuss SLOs, program changes, curriculum offerings, and programmatic development. Chair communicates via email and phone for scheduling information including when new sections are added and need to be staffed. Interviews for potential additional adjuncts had been conducted in-person (now via Zoom) with Dean of Instruction, Chair, and Full-time Faculty. Approximately two to three part-time instructors had been hired each semester and we anticipate hiring one Political Science instructor to staff classes open since full-time faculty member's retirement.

A SLO Map was created in the previous year (2018-19) which indicated when each course will be SLO assessed and reassessed. Each course in each discipline was to be similarly evaluated, however, not all courses were assessed in the previous year. Department Chair will notify faculty when their class(es) are to be assessed and will provide training, if necessary, for those new faculty who have not been trained. During the Fall 2019 Social Sciences Department Meeting, three new faculty were trained on how to collect and report SLOs in their courses. It was also decided that we will discuss the SLO outcome results in each future meeting to determine where our courses have strongly supported student learning and where improvements need to be made. This plan was not completed Fall 2019 and was stalled completely in Spring 2020 when the Covid-19 pandemic caused a college-wide reprioritization of tasks. With adjustments to communication strategies which have been made in our department, chair will address SLO reporting with SLO officer, develop a plan, and communicate with the Social Sciences faculty in Fall 2020 to get SLO reporting up-to-date, accurate, and current.

## Coastline Pathways

Department Chair/Assistant Professor, Laura Enomoto, served as a co-leader for the Guided Pathways Career Exploration Work Group July to October 2019 during which time she communicated the information received from these groups to the faculty and Dean of Instruction via emails, phone calls, inperson meetings, and during department meetings. She also helped facilitate department faculty creating faculty biographies for the new, anticipated department website page.

Professor Sasha Montero continues to serve in the Academic Persistence group and will be asked to keep faculty informed of Pathways progress, initiatives, and goals to create more equity for our students. During a summer retreat in 2019, she worked diligently with her group to identify objectives for Academic Persistence. Professor Montero was one of the members who presented on Academic Persistence to the President and the board. By being part of this project, she was able to gain insight into the future path that the college has to practice and facilitate student success.

Professor Enomoto and Professor Montero attended the 3-day summer 2019 Pathways retreat which established directives for each group and created strategies to implement in the upcoming year.

## Implications of Change

The process of developing an AD-T in Anthropology and an AD-T in Geography is nearly complete. With input from Anthropology and Geography faculty, we have determined which best courses to add and/or to keep active. Having added Global Food and Culture, Anthropology faculty may develop courses for Native American, Cultural Linguistics, or Primate Behavior, any of which will round out the ANTH AD-T. A new GIS course in Geography has been written and has been approved through curriculum. We are also considering exploring a GIS certificate in the future.

Our goal has been to offer greater elective options for students. Over the past two years, with input from History faculty, the department began offering Chicano History, Latin American History, and the American West. We are considering adding Native American History as it is a good elective course for the Anthropology AD-T. We are exploring which additional Political Science courses will round out the program. Some considerations are Crime \& Criminal Justice, Comparative Politics, and Law \& Democracy (already on the books - to be taught). We have expanded the Sociology program with the addition of two new courses, Perspectives of Sex and Gender, Racial and Ethnic Relations.

## Section 2: Human Capital Planning

## Staffing

Staffing Plan

| Year | Administrator/Management | F/T Faculty | P/T Faculty | Classified | Hourly |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous year | Dean of Instruction (1) | Instructor/ <br> Professor (3) | Instructor/ <br> Associate <br> Professor <br> $(24)$ | N/A | N/A |
| Current year | Dean of Instruction (1) | Instructor/ <br> Professor (2) | Instructor/ <br> Associate <br> Professor <br> $(25)$ | N/A | N/A |
| 1 year | Dean of Instruction (1) | Instructor/ <br> Professor (2) | Instructor/ <br> Associate <br> Professor <br> $(25)$ | N/A | N/A |
| 2 years | Dean of Instruction (1) | Instructor/ <br> Professor (2) | Instructor/ <br> Associate <br> Professor <br> $(25)$ | N/A | N/A |
| 3 years | Dean of Instruction (1) | Instructor/ <br> Professor (2) | Instructor/ <br> Associate <br> Professor <br> $(25)$ | N/A | N/A |

With the retirement of Political Science full-time faculty member, one new part-time instructor will be hired. The Social Sciences Department will then be sufficiently staffed with excellent instructors who readily fill any openings including when new sections are added and need to be staffed. Due to a slight drop in enrollment due to COVID-19, the department does not anticipate increasing staffing in the next year.

## Professional Development

Professional Development

| Name (Title) | Professional Development | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kristina Brown, Instructor | Completed dissertation course toward <br>  <br> Implementation, CSUF | Gained knowledge in doctoral <br> research method, design, and <br> implementation |
|  | Completed workshop: "Facilitating <br> Accommodations and Supporting Students <br> with Disabilities in a Remote Environment," <br> Chaffey College | Improved knowledge in <br> pedagogical techniques and <br> gained tools to improve <br> instruction of students from <br> varying backgrounds |


|  | Completed webinar with Victor Rios, The Pushouts, Film and Q and A | Improvement in pedagogical techniques and knowledge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| William Diaz-Brown, Instructor | Taught basic Canvas to professors in the LACCD | Served fellow community college faculty and students by providing knowledge and teaching skills attained through teaching online at Coastline |
|  | Currently learning Italian independently with italki | Increased analyzing and inferencing skills through study of a foreign language; improved understanding of another culture |
|  | Volunteered to read aloud to children on instructor's YouTube channel. | Provided isolated students interaction with a teacher and the ability to connect |
| Laura Enomoto, Assistant Professor/Department Chair | Completed dissertation course toward doctoral degree: EDD 703 Dissertation | Gained knowledge in doctoral research method, design, and implementation toward completion of doctoral dissertation |
|  | Served as co-leader in Guided Pathways Career Exploration work group; attended 2day planning retreat | Contributed to Coastline Pathway's mission to facilitate student success by directing students to clear pathways; provided leadership to create objectives for career exploration |
|  | Co-authored and developed COR for new course: EDUC 202, Community College Teaching | Made significant contribution to college by developing course at Coastline that is a benefit to students |
| William Freeman, Instructor | Wrote an article for the Capitol Dome, the journal of the US Capitol Historical Association, about the three speeches that Winston Churchill made to Congress | Demonstrated and improved research and writing abilities and knowledge of subject matter (History) |
|  | Served as program chair for the International Churchill Society's annual conference, which took place in Washington, D.C. | Demonstrated and improved research and writing abilities and knowledge of subject matter (History) |
|  | Continue to serve as editor of the International Churchill Society's monthly online newsletter, the Churchill Bulletin | Demonstrated and improved research and writing abilities and knowledge of subject matter (History) |
|  | Continue to serve as editor of Finest Hour, the journal of the International Churchill Society | Demonstrated and improved research and writing abilities and knowledge of subject matter (History) |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timothy Garvin, Instructor | Virtual Reader for AP World History through the College Board | Improved knowledge of course content; improved teaching pedagogy such as assessment as a result of scoring exams using a rubric to ensure student work reflects college-level achievement |
| Dan Johnson, Professor | Attended ASCCC Curriculum Institute | Increased knowledge of curriculum to improve serving on Coastline curriculum committee |
|  | Viewed "Documentary $13^{\text {th" }}$ and participated in discussion sponsored by VPI | Gained knowledge of subject matter which improved classroom instruction; demonstrated subject matter expertise in presentation to VPI |
| Todd Menzing, Instructor | Attended 3-day online teaching conference (OTC) through Barstow College | Gained knowledge in pedagogical techniques such as regular and substantive interaction (RSI), implementing online assignments, and managing non-participating students |
| Sasha Montero, Associate Professor | Completed classes through Advancement Courses: Helping Children Overcome Depression, Motivating Unmotivated Students, Kindness: Can It Be Taught, The Effects of Divorce on Children, and Bullying Today. | Increased knowledge of equity-based learning and gained tools to improve instruction of students from varying backgrounds |
|  | Served in Guided Pathways Academic Persistence work group; attended 2-day planning retreat | Contributed to Coastline Pathway's mission to facilitate student success by directing students to clear pathways; created objectives through work group for academic persistence; presented goals and objectives to President and the board |
|  | Applied for academic rank and became an associate professor | Met qualifications to move up in rank: five full years of teaching; significant contributions to the college; serving on a senate or college committee |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Glenna Pieri, Instructor } & \text { Completed Tier II Online Educator Certificate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Improvement in pedagogical } \\ \text { techniques and strategies } \\ \text { such as engaging students at } \\ \text { initial interaction and creating } \\ \text { meaningful learning } \\ \text { communication }\end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Participated in a pilot Mentorship program } \\ \text { at Saddleback College }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Provided leader and } \\ \text { mentorship to fellow peer } \\ \text { mentor }\end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Completed AVID Training Level II (higher } \\ \text { education and peer review) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Gained knowledge in the } \\ \text { holistic approach to teaching } \\ \text { such as creating high- } \\ \text { engagement exercises and } \\ \text { activities }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Moises Plascencia, Instructor } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Spoke at Webinar at Fullerton College with } \\ \text { the Geography Department for Reimagining } \\ \text { Our World }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Demonstrated and improved } \\ \text { presentation techniques and } \\ \text { knowledge of subject matter } \\ \text { (Anthropology); collaborated } \\ \text { with academic and } \\ \text { community development } \\ \text { individuals ranging in } \\ \text { Geography, Ethnic Studies, } \\ \text { and Anthropology }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Michelle Walsh, Instructor } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Developed and designed new course for } \\ \text { Geography program: GEOG 155, } \\ \text { Introduction to GIS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Made significant contribution } \\ \text { to college by developing } \\ \text { course at Coastline that is a } \\ \text { benefit to students }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Lisa Salvi, Associate Professor } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { Completed the following webinars through } \\ \text { NISOD: } \\ \text { White Privilege: What is It Really? How Can } \\ \text { It Be Used to Help Others Who Lack That } \\ \text { Privilege?; Dante Meets Einstein at Café } \\ \text { Paradiso: Renewing the Vows Between } \\ \text { Humanities and Science; Writing the World; } \\ \text { Ensuring Equity and Success in Community } \\ \text { Colleges: Using iClicker for Active Learning; } \\ \text { Shifting Entrepreneurship from the } \\ \text { Perimeter to the Core }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Improvement in pedagogical } \\ \text { techniques and knowledge }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Kempleted the following webinars through } \\ \text { Mandated Reporter: Child Abuse and } \\ \text { Neglect; Sexual Harassment Prevention for } \\ \text { Non-Managers (SB 1343) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { Improved knowledge in } \\ \text { pedagogical techniques and } \\ \text { gained awareness of state } \\ \text { mandates }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Completed online conferences: ESRI 2019 <br> User Conference Plenary and AAG 2019: <br> Washington DC | Gained and improved <br> knowledge of subject matter <br> (Geography) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Completed ESRI Online Lessons: Getting <br> started with GIS and Teaching with ArcGIS <br> Living Atlas of the World | Gained and improved <br> knowledge of GIS systems |

## Section 3: Facilities Planning

## Facility Assessment

The program does not require any facilities other than normal classrooms and office spaces for the fulltime faculty. Work areas for part-time faculty at the existing distance learning offices and faculty success center appear to be sufficient for current demand.

## Section 4: Technology Planning

## Technology Assessment

The program does not use any specialized technology.

## Section 5: Ongoing/New Initiatives

Initiative: No new initiative

## Section 6: Prioritization

List and prioritize initiative requests.

| Initiative | Resource(s) | Est. <br> Cost | Funding <br> Type | Health, <br> Safety <br> Compliance | Evidence | College <br> Goal | Complete <br> By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prioritization Glossary

Initiative: Provide a short description of the plan
Resource(s): Describe the resource(s) needed to support the completion of the initiative
Est. Cost: Estimated financial cost of the resource(s)
Funding Type: Specify if the resource request is one-time or ongoing
Health, Safety Compliance: Specify if the request relates to health or safety compliance issue(s)
Evidence: Specify what data type(s) supported the initiative (Internal research, external research, or learning outcomes)

College Goal: Specify what College goal the initiative aligns with
Complete By: Specify year of anticipated completion
Priority: Specify a numerical rank to the initiative

## Data Glossary

Enrolled (Census): The official enrollment count based on attendance at the census point of the course.
FTES: Total full-time equivalent students (FTES) based on enrollment of resident and non-resident students. Calculations based on census enrollment or number of hours attended based on the type of Attendance Accounting Method assigned to a section.

FTEF30: A measure of productivity that measures the number of full-time faculty loaded for the entire year at 30 Lecture Hour Equivalents ( 15 LHEs per fall and spring terms). This measure provides an estimate of full-time positions required to teach the instruction load for the subject for the academic year.

WSCH/FTEF (595): A measure of productivity that measures the weekly student contact hours compared to full-time equivalent faculty. When calculated for a 16 week schedule, the productivity benchmark is 595. When calculated for an 18 -week schedule, the benchmark is 525 .

Success Rate: The number of passing grades (A, B, C, P) compared to all valid grades awarded.
Retention Rate: The number of retention grades (A, B, C, P, D, F, NP, I*) compared to all valid grades awarded.

Fall-to-Spring Persistence: The number of students who completed the course in the fall term and reenrolled (persisted) in the same subject the subsequent spring semester.

F2S Percent: The number of students who completed a course in the fall term and re-enrolled in the same subject the subsequent spring semester divided by the total number of students enrolled in the fall in the subject.

